

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/939,824	08/28/2001	Yoshiaki Fukuda	YMOR:145B	2958	
7:	590 12/06/2002				
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
Suite 210 1421 Prince Street			WAKS, J	WAKS, JOSEPH	
Alexandria, VA	A 22314-2805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
_	Application No.			
Office Action Summary	09/939,824		FUKUDA ET AL.	
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Joseph Waks	2834	ddress	
Period for Reply	sars on the cover s	neet mar the correspondence de	<i>Iui</i>	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timel X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-fina	al.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims			ne merits is	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from considerat	ion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		,	ier.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		n.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			*	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ed in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	ıl application).	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provides 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/939,824

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bianca et al. (US 5,706,952) in view of Fukui (JP 10108433 A) and Braden et al. (US 4,753,061).

Bianca et al. discloses a reeled tape like package having a plurality of embosses 28 and 30 for accommodate electrical and or mechanical components 24 of different size and shape or configuration for the purpose of automatic placement on a printed circuit board. However, Bianca et al. fail to disclose specifically a completed brushless motor being accommodated in each of the embosses wherein each of the embosses includes a walled receptacle having a top opening covered with a film attached to and covering the top opening.

Fukui discloses in Figures 1 and 14 a complete brushless motor to be placed in a printed circuit board 1 and hold by embosses 1A, 1B, 1C to facilitate mounting of the motor by limiting the number of parts and man-hours required for mounting the rotor.

Braden et al. disclose in Figures 1 and 3 a method of supplying an electrical component on a tape like package 3 with a plurality of embosses 7 each including a walled receptacle having a top opening covered with a film 11 attached to and covering the top opening for the purpose of efficient and safe handling and transportation of the stored component as well as speed and accuracy of unpacking and placement of the components on an electronic circuit board.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,824

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the package as taught by **Bianca et al.** to accommodate complete brushless motors as taught by **Fukui** for the purpose of automating the process of installation of the motors and the board on an assembly line.

It would have been further obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined package and to provide the embosses with the walled receptacle having a top opening covered with a film attached to and covering the top opening for the purpose of efficient and safe handling and transportation of the stored motors as well as speed and accuracy of unpacking and placement of the motors on an electronic circuit board.

The combined package discloses all the elements as claimed. Claims 27 and 28 that merely recite connecting and using the disclosed features together are inherent to the disclosed structure.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/939,824

Art Unit: 2834

final action.

Page 4

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Communication

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WAKS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

TC-2800

JW

December 4, 2002